# LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY AND SUMMARY FLOODPLAIN ENROACHMENT REPORT

# FOR THE

# REPLACEMENT OF FOUR CHUCKWALLA VALLEY ROAD BRIDGES PROJECT

Over Aztec Ditch (Br. No. 56C0102) (Federal Aid Project No.BRLO-5956(239)

Over Tarantula Ditch (Br. No. 56C0103) (Federal AidProject No. BRLO-5956(227)

Over Sutro Ditch (Br. No. 56C0104) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(226)

Over Acari Ditch (Br. No. 56C0108) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(225)

Submitted to: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 8 464 W. 4th Street San Bernardino, CA 92401

Prepared for: COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 3525 14<sup>th</sup> Street Riverside, CA 92501

> Prepared by: AGUILAR CONSULTING, INC. 2155 Chicago Avenue, Suite 304 Riverside, CA 92507 (951) 300-1431

> > September 2019

This report has been prepared by or under the direction of the following registered civil engineer who attests to the technical information contained herein. The registered civil engineer has also judged the qualifications of any employees that have provided data and calculations upon which the recommendations, conclusions, and decisions are based.



Ceazar V. Aguilar, PE 41679

California State Transportation Agency

## Memorandum

To: AARON P. BURTON Senior Environmental Planner Local Assistance-Environmental Support, MS 760 Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

Date: December 16, 2019

File: FPN: 5956(239) (227) (226) (225) LHS-SFER Report for Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridges Project

From: ALAN BISI

Office Chief, Hydraulics - MS 1065

#### Subject: LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY REPORT WITH SUMMARY FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT REPORT

We have reviewed the above Location Hydraulic Study Report with Summary Floodplain Encroachment Report and concur with the findings of this report.

If you have any questions or require further information, do not hesitate to call Michael Huynh of my staff at (909) 806-2524 or myself at (909) 383-4624.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	. 1
III.	FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT	. 2
IV.	REFERENCES	. 2

# **EXHIBITS**

- **EXHIBIT A:** REGIONAL LOCATION MAP
- **EXHIBIT B:** PROJECT LOCATION MAP
- **EXHIBIT C:** BRIDGE GENERAL PLANS AND BRIDGE GEOMETRIC APPROVAL DRAWINGS FOR THE PROPOSED CHUCKWALLA VALLEY ROAD BRIDGES
- **EXHIBIT D:** FEDERAL INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 06065C2475G

# **ATTACHMENTS**

i

ATTACHMENT A: LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM

ATTACHMENT B: SUMMARY FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT REPORT

## I. INTRODUCTION

Aguilar Consulting Inc. has prepared the Location Hydraulic Study (LHS) and Summary Floodplain Encroachment Report (SFER) in support of the proposed Replacement of Four Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridges Project.

The County of Riverside (The County), in cooperation with California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), is proposing to replace the following four existing structurally deficient bridges along Chuckwalla Valley Road near the Desert Center in Riverside County, California (see Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B"):

- 1. Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridge over Aztec Ditch (State Br. No. 56C0102) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(239)
- 2. Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridge over Tarantula Ditch (State Br. No. 56C0103) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(227)
- 3. Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridge over Sutro Ditch (State Br. No. 56C0104) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(226)
- 4. Chuckwalla Valley Road Bridge over Acari Ditch (State Br. No. 56C0108) (Federal Aid Project No. BRLO-5956(225)

## **II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Chuckwalla Valley Road is an approximately 16-mile stretch of frontage road that runs parallel to Interstate 10 (I-10). It connects Corn Springs Road and I-10 at the west end and Paled Dunes Drive and I-10 at the east end. Classified as a Local Rural Road, it mostly serves vehicles accessing local utilities and off-road recreation. The average daily traffic (ADT) volume is approximately 40 vehicles. Periodically, the road carries detoured traffic from the heavily traveled I-10 when the freeway is temporarily closed for construction or emergency incidents. Therefore, it is important to maintain this frontage road in sound condition at all times.

The existing timber bridges carry two lanes (one lane in each direction) of traffic over Aztec, Tarantula, Sutro and Acari ditches. The timber bridges range from 41 feet to 60 feet in length and are approximately 24 feet and 8 inches wide from curb-to-curb. Currently, load restrictions posted on the four bridges limit the vehicular load-carrying capacity below normal standards.

The bridges are listed in the federal Eligible Bridge List (EBL) as "Structurally Deficient (SD)" with a low Sufficiency Rating (SR) between 39.3 and 49.2. A sufficiency rating is essentially an overall rating of a bridge's fitness for the duty that it performs. The rating is based on a bridge's structural evaluation, functional/geometric obsolescence, and its essentiality to the public. A low sufficiency rating may be due to structural defects, narrow lanes, low vertical clearance, or any of many possible issues. A bridge is healthy when its SR is more than 80.0. Bridges with SR equal to or less than 80.0 and more than 50.0 require

rehabilitation or widening. When the SR falls below 50.0, bridge replacement shall be considered for public safety.

The proposed project will replace the existing 2-lane timber bridges with new 2-lane modern bridges with a curb-to-curb roadway width of 32 feet at the same locations. The proposed road width would consist of two 12-foot-wide travel lanes, one lane in each direction, and a 4-foot-wide shoulder on each side. Modern traffic barriers/railings meeting current CALTRANS safety design standards would be constructed. The proposed bridges would be approximately 60 to 80 feet long depending on the channel hydraulic capacity and water surface freeboard requirements. Raising the elevation of the bridges is not anticipated. However, if raising the bridge elevation is found to be necessary to meet freeboard requirements, the total vertical increase is not anticipated to exceed one foot. Additionally, approach roadway improvements would be provided, and channel improvements would be administered to avoid future scour problems. It is envisioned that the channel bottom will remain earthen.

The existing bridges do not carry any utilities and the proposed bridge construction is not expected to include new utilities. A telephone line runs along the north side of the project area and may be near bridge wing walls. Further coordination with the utility provider will determine whether relocation will be required.

All construction activities would be conducted within the existing roadway right of way with construction staging and material laydown areas on the roadway itself. Chuckwalla Valley Road between the Corn Springs Road intersection to 6.3 miles east of the intersection would be closed during construction. The construction duration will be further determined during the project development. It is envisioned that all four bridges will be either constructed at the same time or staged in sequence depending on the finding of available access to adjacent utilities and properties. A Traffic Management Plan (TMP) would be prepared to address closure of the road and access to local utilities and properties.

## III. FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT

The FEMA definition of **encroachment** states that "construction, placement of fill, or similar alteration of topography in the floodplain that reduces the area available to convey floodways." The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) defines it as "an action within the limits of the base floodplain". From review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Number 06065C2475G, it is indicated that the bridges along Chuckwalla Valley Road are in an area has not been formally mapped by FEMA and the area has been designated as Zone "D" (see Exhibit D), which means that the area may have flood hazards that have yet to be determined, therefore the four bridges **do not encroach** into any defined floodplains or floodways.

## **IV. REFERENCES**

1. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Map Services

2

**EXHIBITS** 

**EXHIBIT "A": REGIONAL LOCATION MAP** 

# **EXHIBIT "A" - REGIONAL LOCATION MAP**



**EXHIBIT "B": PROJECT LOCATION MAP** 

# **EXHIBIT "B" - PROJECT LOCATION MAP**



# EXHIBIT "C": BRIDGE GENERAL PLANS AND BRIDGE GEOMETRIC APPROVAL DRAWINGS FOR THE PROPOSED CHUCKWALLA VALLEY ROAD BRIDGES

# COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# **PROJECT PLANS FOR CONSTRUCTION ON REPLACEMENT OF FOUR TIMBER BRIDGES ON** CHUCKWALLA VALLEY ROAD

(BRIDGE NOS. 56C0102, 56C0103, 56C0104, 56C0108)

TO BE SUPPLEMENTED BY CALTRANS STANDARD PLANS DATED 2010 AND COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ROAD IMPROVEMENT STANDARDS DATED 12-20-2007



#### SHEET No. DESCRIPTION

- 1 TITLE
- TYPICAL SECTION AND DETAILS 2
- CHUCKWALLA VALLEY RD BRIDGE OVER AZTEC DITCH 3
- BR. NO.56C0102, FPN BRL0-5956(239) CHUCKWALLA VALLEY RD BRIDGE OVER OVER TARANTULA DITCH BR. NO. 56C0103, FPN BRLO-5956(227)
- CHUCKWALLA VALLEY RD BRIDGE OVER OVER SUTRO DITCH BR. NO. 56C0104, FPN BRL0-5956(226)
- CHUCKWALLA VALLEY RD BRIDGE OVER OVER ACARI DITCH 6 BR. NO. 56C0108, FPN BRL0-5956(225)

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY RIVERSIDE BEAUMONT BANNING RIVERSIDE COUNTY CORONA PALM SPRINGS STATE OF INDIO ORANGE ARIZONA COUNTY  $\ominus$ HEMET BLYTHE INDIAN RCOACHELLA A WELLS ) TEMECULA SALTON SEA SAN DIEGO COUNTY IMPERIAL COUNTY CHUCKWALLA VALLEY ROAD



.9/27/2019 4:13:20 PM DATE TIME



STA 851+25 TO STA 854+50

CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- P PROTECT IN PLACE
- R RELOCATE
- A ADJUST TO GRADE BY OTHERS
- (1) REMOVE PER PLAN
- 2 LIMITS OF PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION
- (3) HEADER CUT EXISTING ASPHALT
- (4) CONSTRUCT MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM WITH TYPE WB-31 TRANSITION RAILING
- $\stackrel{(5)}{_{6}}$  construct flared terminal system end treatment  $\stackrel{(6)}{_{6}}$  install  $^{\prime}\!\!\!/_{4}$  ton rip rap
- (7) APPLY "NO PASSING" DOUBLE YELLOW TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20A, DETAIL 22
- B APPLY 6" WHITE RIGHT EDGE LINE TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20B, DETAIL 27B
- INSTALL TYPE P OBJECT MARKER (TYPE 3) 2018 STANDARD PLANS A73B (OM-3L OR OM-3R PER PLAN)

#### CNS ENGINEERS, IN Steve Hosford No. <u>C 042280</u> \* Exp. <u>03/31/20</u> PROJECT ENGINEER STATE OF CALIFORN 11870 PIERCE ST., RIVERSIDE, CA 925

REVISED 05/01/2013

USERNAME => NancyLi DGN FILE => 2 Typical Section & Details

		3	80%	CONCEP	TUA	LD	ES	GN	
IC	TYPIC	AL SECTION AND	DET	AILS	SH	IEE	TN	lo.	ā
DATE , Ste 265	REPLACEN C	ENT OF FOUR TIMBER Huckwalla Valley R	BRII Road	DGES ON	SHEET	<b>X</b> 2	<b>- 1</b>	6	DATE
s.dgn	I	WO 45-19190102		COUNTY FILE No.					1

PLOTTED => 9/27/2019 PLOTTED => 4:14:00 PM DATE TIME





- P PROTECT IN PLACE
- (1) REMOVE PER PLAN
- (2) LIMITS OF PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION
- (3) HEADER CUT EXISTING ASPHALT
- $\overbrace{4}^{\overbrace{4}}$  construct midwest guardrail system with type wb-31 transition railing
- (5) CONSTRUCT FLARED TERMINAL SYSTEM END TREATMENT
- 6 INSTALL 1/4 TON RIP RAP
- (7) APPLY "NO PASSING" DOUBLE YELLOW TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20A, DETAIL 22
- (8) APPLY 6" WHITE RIGHT EDGE LINE TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20B, DETAIL 27B
- (9) INSTALL TYPE P OBJECT MARKER (TYPE 3) 2018 STANDARD PLANS A73B (OM-3L OR OM-3R PER PLAN)
- (1) INSTALL 3' THICK RIP RAP BLANKET AT 2:1 SLOPE TO 6' BELOW FINISHED GRADE.

LEGEND:

58	ž
	7

LIMITS OF PAVEMENT REMOVAL AND RECONSTRUCTION SS INSTALL RIP RAP HEADER CUT

		30%	CONCEP	TUA	LD	)ES	IGN
C		PLAN AND PROFILE		SH	IEE	TN	lo.
DATE	CHUCKN Br. No.	WALLA VALLEY RD B Over Aztec Ditch 56C0102, FPN Brlo-59	RIDGE 956(239)		L	- 1	
,Ste 265 05				SHEET	3	OF	6
K.dgn		WO 45-19190102	COUNTY FILE No				



REVISED 05/01/2013

RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES

USERNAME =>NancyLi DGN FILE =>RoadPlans(gad) 1 2 3 4 WORK

#### CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- P PROTECT IN PLACE
- (1) REMOVE PER PLAN
- (2) LIMITS OF PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION
- (3) HEADER CUT EXISTING ASPHALT
- $\overbrace{4}^{\textcircled{4}}$  CONSTRUCT MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM WITH TYPE WB-31 TRANSITION RAILING
- (5) CONSTRUCT FLARED TERMINAL SYSTEM END TREATMENT
- (6) INSTALL 1/4 TON RIP RAP
- APPLY "NO PASSING" DOUBLE YELLOW TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20A, DETAIL 22
- (8) APPLY 6" WHITE RIGHT EDGE LINE TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20B, DETAIL 27B
- (9) INSTALL TYPE P OBJECT MARKER (TYPE 3) 2018 STANDARD PLANS A73B (OM-3L OR OM-3R PER PLAN)
- (1) INSTALL 3' THICK RIP RAP BLANKET AT 2:1 SLOPE TO 6' BELOW FINISHED GRADE.

#### LEGEND:



LIMITS OF PAVEMENT REMOVAL AND RECONSTRUCTION INSTALL RIP RAP HEADER CUT

х	x	30%	CONCEP	TUA	LD	ES	GN	-
C				SH	IEE	ΤN	lo.	
DATE	CHUCKI O Br. No.	WALLA VALLEY RD B Ver tarantula dit 56C0103, FPN Brlo-59	RIDGE Ch 956(227)		L·	-2		
Ste 265 05				SHEET	4	OF	6	
(.dgn		WO 45-19190102	COUNTY FILE No					-

DATE PLOTTED => 9/27/2019 TIME PLOTTED => 4:09:05 PM





USERNAME => NancyLi DGN FILE => RoadPlans(gad) 1 2 3 4 WORł

		30%	CONCEP	TUA	LC	)ES	IGN	TTFD =>
C				SH	IEE	TP	10.	Ē
DATE	CHUCK Br. No.	WALLA VALLEY RD BF Over Acari Ditch 56C0108, FPN Brlo-59	RIDGE 156(225)		L·	- 4		DATF
Ste 265 05				SHEET	6	OF	6	
≺.dgn		WO 45-19190102	COUNTY FILE No.					-

9/27/2019 4:09:44 PM

- (8) APPLY 6" WHITE RIGHT EDGE LINE TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20B, DETAIL 27B
- APPLY "NO PASSING" DOUBLE YELLOW TRAFFIC STRIPE PER CALTRANS 2018 STANDARD PLANS A20A, DETAIL 22

/	/	1				
			<u> </u>	/	1	
855	+00				855	+5



TION 4 ORT Â S Z < œ F ш 0 . Z Ш Σ БЧ ∢ Ц ۵ 1 ш SID <u>م</u> Ш > LL. 0 > ┢ NNO Ō Ш ⊢ æ 0 L æ < Δ ш



	DIST	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT
	8	RIV	RR AVE	
	CNS 118 RIVE	ENGINEE 70 PIERO ERSIDE, O	RS, INC CE ST, ST CA 92505	E 265
LEGENI  	Exist New ( Direc Bottc	ing Stru Construc tion of Fo	ucture tion Flow poting El	evation
Н	Plumb	Pile		
H	3 📐 E	attered	Pile	
0	30" D	ia CIDH	Conc PILI	E





**SPORTATION** RAN F ш 0 ┢ Z ARTM Ш ۵ I ш SID Ш R < ۳. 0 OUNTY Ō ш I ⊢ æ 0 Ĺ Ц П æ < **۵** ш æ

۵

		-			POST MUES
		DIST	COUNTY	ROUTE	TOTAL PROJECT
		6 CVIC		RK AVE	
		118 RIVE	70 PIERO ERSIDE,	CE ST, ST CA 92505	E 265
	LEGEND:				
	Existing	g Str	ucture		
	New Con	istru	ction		
X	Jirectio	on of	Flow		
_	XXXX.X Bottom	of F	ooting	Elevatior	ł
/	H Plumb P	ile			
	H∕ 3 ∐ Bat	tere	d Pile		
	1				
	NOTE:				
	1. Not all p	iles	shown.		
0					
	EQUN				N
			NGS		/
t Nguyen		DITC			EPLACE
NGINEER		BRI			
	CONTRACT No.: X	PRO	JECT No. 8	PHASE: X	
				- • •	







	DIS	ST	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT
	8	3	RIV	RR AVE	
	CN 1 RI	NS 18 [Ve	ENGINEE 70 PIERO ERSIDE, O	RS, INC CE ST, ST CA 92505	E 265
LEGENI	D:				
	Existi	ng	Struct	ure	
	New Co	n	structic	n	
$\checkmark$	Direct	io	n of Flo	w	
XXXX.X	Bottor	۱	of Foot	ing Eleva	tion
н	Plumb	Pi	le		
H	3 A Ba	1+4	tered Pi	le	
0	30" Dic	ונ	CIDH Cor	NC PILE	
 NOTE					
1. N	Not all	pi	les sho	WN.	

	FOUND	ATION PLAN
	PLANI	NING STUDY
t Nguyen	SUTRO DITCH	I BRIDGE (REPLACE)
	UNIT: X	BRIDGE No.:X
	CONTRACT No.: X	PROJECT No. & PHASE:X



TION 4 ORT ۵ S Z < æ L 0 Z Ш Σ Ċ ∢ Ц 1 ш SID æ Ш > L 0  $\succ$ Z 0 Ō ш I F æ 0 L ۵ ш æ < ۵. ш æ ۵.



DIST	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT
8	RIV	RR AVE	
CNS 118 RIVE	ENGINEE 70 PIERO ERSIDE,	ERS, INC CE ST, ST CA 92505	E 265



NOTE:

1. Not all piles shown.

	FOUND	ATION PLAN		
	PLANNING STUDY			
t Nguyen	ACARI DITCH	BRIDGE (REPLACE)		
	UNIT: X	BRIDGE No.:X		
	CONTRACT No.: X	PROJECT No. & PHASE:X		

EXHIBIT "D": FEDERAL INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 06065C2475G

1005 K17E S9 1005 R1/E S10 1005 K1/E 58 1005 K1/E 511 T05S R17E S13 05S R18E S1 SR18ES T05S R17E S14 T05S R17E S18 T05S R17E S17 T05S R17E S16 T05S R17E S15 T05S R18E S20 T05S R17E S24 05S R18E S19 T05S R17E S21 T05S R17E S23 T05S R17E S19 T05S R17E S20 T05S R17E S22 05S R18E S3 T05S R18E S29 105S R17E S T05S R17E S29 T05S R17E S30 T05S R17E S28 T05S R17E S27 T05S R17E S26



NUMBER

060245

PANEL

2475

MAP NUMBER 06065C2475G EFFECTIVE DATE

09/09/9999

# **FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION**

#### SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT





# NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM, including historic versions, the current map date for each FIRM panel, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at http://msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can beordered or obtained directly from the website.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates refer to the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

Basemap information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by USDA, Farm Service Agency (FSA). This information was derived from NAIP, dated April 11, 2018.

This map was exported from FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) on 4/1/2019 7:10:28 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. For additional information, please see the Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/118418

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date

# SCALE



1 inch = 2,000 feet			t	1:24,0	00
0	1,000 2,0	00	4,000	6,000	8,000 Eeet
				Meters	1 001
0	210 420	840	1,260	1,680	



ATTACHMENTS

# ATTACHMENT A: LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM

## LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM\*

Dist. <u>8</u> Co. <u>Riverside</u> Rte. <u>P.M.</u> Project No.: <u>BRLO-5956(239) – Aztec Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(227) – Tarantula Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(226) – Sutro Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(225) – Acari Ditch</u> Bridge No.: <u>No. 56C0102 – Aztec Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0103 – Tarantula Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0104 – Sutro</u> <u>Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0108 – Acari Ditch</u> Limits: <u>Along Chuckwalla Valley Road with the northerly limit of the project starting</u> <u>approximately 0.2-miles east of Corn Springs Road and the southerly limit of the project</u> <u>approximately 6.3 miles east of Corn Springs Road</u>.

Floodplain Description:

From review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Number 06065C2475G, it is indicated that the bridges along Chuckwalla Valley Road has have been formally mapped by FEMA and the project area is designated as Zone "D" (see Exhibit D), which means that the area may have flood hazards that have yet to be determined, therefore the project does not encroach into any defined existing floodplains.

1. Description of Proposal (include any physical barriers i.e. concrete barriers, soundwalls, etc. and design elements to minimize floodplain impacts):

Chuckwalla Valley Road is an approximately 16-mile stretch of frontage road that runs parallel to Interstate 10 (I-10). It connects Corn Springs Road and I-10 at the west end and Paled Dunes Drive and I-10 at the east end. Classified as a Local Rural Road, it mostly serves vehicles accessing local utilities and off-road recreation. The average daily traffic (ADT) volume is approximately 40 vehicles. Periodically, the road carries detoured traffic from the heavily traveled I-10 when the freeway is temporarily closed for construction or emergency incidents. Therefore, it is important to maintain this frontage road in sound condition at all times.

The existing timber bridges carry two lanes (one lane in each direction) of traffic over Aztec, Tarantula, Sutro and Acari ditches. The timber bridges range from 41 feet to 60 feet in length and are approximately 24 feet and 8 inches wide from curb-to-curb. Currently, load restrictions posted on the four bridges limit the vehicular load-carrying capacity below normal standards.

The bridges are listed in the federal Eligible Bridge List (EBL) as "Structurally Deficient (SD)" with a low Sufficiency Rating (SR) between 39.3 and 49.2. A sufficiency rating is essentially an overall rating of a bridge's fitness for the duty that it performs. The rating is based on a bridge's structural evaluation, functional/geometric obsolescence, and its essentiality to the public. A low sufficiency rating may be due to structural defects, narrow lanes, low vertical clearance, or any of many possible issues. A bridge is healthy when its SR is more than 80.0. Bridges with SR equal to or less than 80.0 and more than 50.0 require rehabilitation or widening. When the SR falls below 50.0, bridge replacement shall be considered for public safety.

The proposed project will replace the existing 2-lane timber bridges with new 2-lane modern bridges with a curb-to-curb roadway width of 32 feet at the same locations. The proposed road width would consist of two 12-foot-wide travel lanes, one lane in each direction, and a 4-footwide shoulder on each side. Modern traffic barriers/railings meeting current CALTRANS safety design standards would be constructed. The proposed bridges would be approximately 60 to 80 feet long depending on the channel hydraulic capacity and water surface freeboard requirements. Raising the elevation of the bridges is not anticipated. However, if raising the bridge elevation is found to be necessary to meet freeboard requirements, the total vertical increase is not anticipated to exceed one foot. Additionally, approach roadway improvements would be provided and channel improvements would be administered to avoid future scour problems. It is envisioned that the channel bottom will remain earthen.

The existing bridges do not carry any utilities and the proposed bridge construction is not expected to include new utilities. A telephone line runs along the north side of the project area and may be near bridge wing walls. Further coordination with the utility provider will determine whether relocation will be required.

All construction activities would be conducted within the existing roadway right of way with construction staging and material laydown areas on the roadway itself. Chuckwalla Valley Road between the Corn Springs Road intersection to 6.3 miles east of the intersection would be closed during construction. The construction duration will be further determined during the project development. It is envisioned that all four bridges will be either constructed at the same time or staged in sequence depending on the finding of available access to adjacent utilities and properties. A Traffic Management Plan (TMP) would be prepared to address closure of the road and access to local utilities and properties.

2. ADT:	Current	40		Project	ed	60	
3. Hydraulic WSE 100: D	Data: Base	Flood Q100=	N/A N/A	_CFS			
USE100. E	Jpstream Encro	achment =	N/A	_			
The flood of	record, if grea	ter than Q100:					
Q = N/A	_CFS	WSE=	N/A	_			
Overtopping	flood Q=	N/A CFS		WSE=		N/A	
Are NFIP ma	aps and studies	s available?	YES_	Х	_FIRM	No. 06065	5C2475G
					(see Ex	khibit "D")	1
			NO				

4. Is the highway location alternative within a regulatory floodway? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_X\_\_\_

5. Attach map with flood limits outlined showing all buildings or other improvements within the base floodplain.

Potential Q100 backwater damages:

A.	Residences?	NO <u>X</u>	YES
B.	Other Bldgs?	NO <u>X</u>	YES
C.	Crops?	NO X	YES
D.	Natural and beneficial	NO X	YES
E.	Floodplain values?	NO X	YES

6. Type of Traffic:

A. Emergency supply or evacuation route?	NO	Х	_YES_	
B. Emergency vehicle access?	NO		_YES_	Х
C. Practicable detour available?	NO		_YES_	Х
D. School bus or mail route?	NO	Х	_YES _	

7. Estimated duration of traffic interruption for 100-year event hours: <u>N/A</u>

8. Estimated value of Q100 flood damages (if any) – moderate risk level.

A. Roadway \$<u>N/A</u> B Property \$<u>N/A</u> Total \$N/A

9. Assessment of Level of Risk Low X Moderate High

For High Risk projects, during design phase, additional Design Study Risk Analysis May be necessary to determine design alternative.

		• •
Signature – Consulting Hydraulics Engineer_	Date: 09/26/1	<u>19</u>
(Item numbers 3,4,5,7,9)	Ceazar Aguilar, P.E.,	
	Aguilar Consulting, Inc	

Is there any longitudinal encroachment, significant encroachment, or any support of incompatible Floodplain development? NO X YES

If yes, provide evaluation and discussion of practicability of alternatives in accordance with 23 CFR 650.113

Information developed to comply with the Federal requirement for the Location Hydraulic Study shall be retained in the project files.

Signature – County Project Engineer	Date	
(Item numbers 1,2,6,8)		

\* Same as Figure 804.7A Technical Information for Location Hydraulic Study located in Chapter 804 of the Highway Design Manual

# ATTACHMENT B: SUMMARY FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FLOODPLAIN ENCROACHMENT REPORT\*

Dist. <u>8</u> Co. <u>Riverside</u> Rte. <u>P.M.</u> Project No.: <u>BRLO-5956(239) – Aztec Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(227) – Tarantula Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(226) – Sutro Ditch</u>, <u>BRLO-5956(225) – Acari Ditch</u> Bridge No.: <u>No. 56C0102 – Aztec Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0103 – Tarantula Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0104 – Sutro Ditch</u>, <u>No. 56C0108 – Acari Ditch</u> Limits: <u>Along Chuckwalla Valley Road with the northerly limit of the project starting</u> <u>approximately 0.2-miles east of Corn Springs Road and the southerly limit of the project</u> approximately 6.3 miles east of Corn Springs Road.

Floodplain Description: From review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Number 06065C2475G, it is indicated that the bridges along Chuckwalla Valley Road has not been formally mapped by FEMA and the project area is designated as Zone "D" (see Exhibit D), which means that the area may have flood hazards that have yet to be determined, therefore the project does not encroach into any defined existing floodplains.

		No	Yes
1.	Is the proposed action a longitudinal encroachment of the base floodplain?	<u>X</u>	
2.	Are the risks associated with the implementation of the proposed action significant?	<u>X</u>	
3.	Will the proposed action support probable incompatible floodplain development?	<u>     X                               </u>	
4.	Are there any significant impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values?	<u>X</u>	
5.	Routine construction procedures are required to minimize impacts on the floodplain. Are there any special mitigation measures necessary to minimize impacts or restore and preserve natural and beneficial floodplain values? If yes, explain.	<u>X</u>	
6.	Does the proposed action constitute a significant floodplain encroachment as defined in 23 CFR, Section 650.105(q).	<u>X</u>	
7.	Are Location Hydraulic Studies that document the above answers on file? If not explain.		<u>X</u>

PREPARED BY:

Signature – Consulting Hydraulics Engineer

Date: 09/26/19

Ceazar Aguilar, P.E., Aguilar Consulting, Inc

\* Same as Figure 804.7B Floodplain Evaluation Report Summary located in Chapter 804 of the Highway Design Manual